Cooperative Communications

Lecture 2

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 17, 2011

$\mathsf{Outline}\ \mathsf{I}$

Last Time, Lecture 1

- Communication networks wireline and wireless
- Cooperative systems
- Basics of communication theory.

Today, Lecture 2

- MIMO systems as foundation for cooperative communications [1]
- Multipath propagation
- Equivalent baseband representation
- Large-scale and short-scale fading
- Path loss
- Characterization of small-scale fading

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 17, 2011 1/42

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 17, 2011 2/42

Outline II

Lecture 2 cont.

- \bullet Wide sense stationarity (WSS) and uncorrelated scattering (US)
- SISO, SIMO, MISO, and MIMO signal model.
- Double directional channel model
- Classical iid channel model
- Singular value decomposition and Frobenius norm
- Spatial fading correlations



Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) Communications





Single-Input Single-Output (SISO), SIMO, MISO



Single-Input Single-Output (SISO), SIMO, MISO





Single-Input Single-Output (SISO), SIMO, MISO



Advantages of Multiple Antennas

- MIMO systems multiple antennas are connected to a single device
- Cooperative communication systems each device may have a single antenna only. Cooperation allows to achive (partial) MIMO gains.

Multiple antenna gains:

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

- Energy efficiency (array gain): Signal to thermal noise ratio is improved. Increased coverage.
- Error rate reduction (diversity gain): Mitigates fading through spatial diversity. Improved quality.
- **Spectral efficiency** (multiplexing gain): Increased bits/channel access (bpca) rate.
- Interference reduction: Improve the reuse factor in multi-user scenarios.

Diversity gain and spatial multiplexing may be mutually conflicting gals both in MIMO and in cooperative communications systems.

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 17, 2011 6 / 42

--- ftw Creating Communication

March 17, 2011 5 / 42

Obstacles to MIMO Implementations

- Hardware costs: Multiple antennas mean multiple RF chains.
- **Battery**: More involved signal processing requires more computing power and energy.
- Portable consumer devices are especially sensitive to cost arguments.

Obstacles to MIMO Implementations

- Hardware costs: Multiple antennas mean multiple RF chains.
- **Battery**: More involved signal processing requires more computing power and energy.
- Portable consumer devices are especially sensitive to cost arguments.
- Are cooperative communcation systems the better solution? Maybe we will know a partial answer at the end of the lecture.

March 17, 2011 7/42



$h_p(t, au) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{L-1} \eta_\ell \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{j} 2 \pi f_\ell t} \delta(t- au_\ell)$

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

/Jarch 17, 2011 8/42

-- ftw Creating Communication

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czinł

March 17, 2011 9 / 42

-ftw Creating Communicatio

Large-scale and short-scale fading



Path loss I

Free space propagation

$$P_r = P_t \left(\frac{\lambda_c}{4\pi d}\right)^2 G_t G_r \,, \quad \lambda_c = \frac{c_0}{f_c}$$

- P_t , P_r transmitted power, received power
- G_t , G_r antenna gains
 - λ_c wavelength
 - c_0 speed of light
 - f_c carrier frequency
 - d distance between receiver and transmitter



Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 17, 2011 11 / 42

Path loss II



Cellular environment with surface reflection

$$P_r = P_t \frac{(h_t h_r)^2}{d^4} G_t G_r, \quad d^2 \gg h_t h_r$$

 h_t , h_r height of antennas

Path loss exponent varies from 2.5 to 6 depending on terrain and foliage.

Large-Scale Fading

Long term signal power fluctuations due to

- buildings,
- terrain.

Characterized by a log-normal distribution with probability density function (pdf)

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

- x signal power level in dB
- $\mu\,$ mean received signal power level
- σ standard deviation (typical value 8 dB)

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

Small-Scale Fading

Rapid fluctuations of the received signal in space, time and frequency.

- Large number of independent scattered components
- Central limit theorem
- **Rayleigh fading** channel *h* is complex Gaussian with zero mean, envelope r = |h| is Rayleigh distributed

$$f(r) = \frac{2r}{\Omega} e^{-\frac{r^2}{\Omega}} u(r),$$

 Ω average receive power

$$u(r) \text{ unit step function, } u(r) = \begin{cases} 1, & r \ge 0, r \in \mathbb{R} \\ 0, & r < 0, r \in \mathbb{R} \end{cases}$$

/

• Ricean fading - additional (deterministic) line of sight (LOS) component

--ftw Creating Communication Technologies

March 17, 2011 14 / 42

Characterization of Small-Scale Fading

- **Time selective fading**: coherence time time separation between individual channel fades
- Frequency selective fading: coherence bandwidth frequency separation between individual channel fades
- **Space selective fading**: coherence distance separation of antenna elements for independent fading

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

Time-Selective Fading - Doppler Spread

 $\bullet\,$ Doppler shift ν is caused by scatterer or user movement

$$\nu = \frac{\nu}{\lambda_c} \cos(\theta) \,,$$

where $\boldsymbol{\theta}$ is the angle between velocity vector and the propagation direction.

- Superposition of many paths leads to Doppler spread.
- For UMTS with carrier frequency 2GHz and users moving with v = 100 km/h, Doppler is $\nu = 185$ Hz.



Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink



Clarke's model

Uniformly distributed scatterers around the mobile [2]

 $\Psi_D(
u) = \left\{ egin{array}{cc} \displaystyle rac{1}{\pi
u_{
m D} \sqrt{1 - \left(
u /
u_{
m D}
ight)^2}} & ext{for } |
u| <
u_{
m D} \,, \ 0 & ext{otherwise.} \end{array}
ight.$



Creating Communic

March 17, 2011 15 / 42

Coherence time $\, {T_C} \propto 1/{
u_D}$

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czinł

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

ch 17, 2011 16 / 42

ftw Creating Communicat

ch 17, 2011 17 / 42

Power-Delay Profile

• Exponentially decaying power delay profile $\eta^2(\tau)$ with (root mean square) delay spread $T_{\rm D}$.

$$\eta^2(au) = rac{1}{T_{
m D}} {
m e}^{-rac{ au}{T_{
m D}}}$$

- Coherence bandwidth $B_c \propto 1/T_{\rm D}.$
- Typical values for urban environment $0.26\mu s \le T_D \le 1\mu s$.

Frequency Selective Fading - Delay Spread



- Impulse response magnitude |h(t)| (exponential PDP, non-line-of-sight environment)
- Delay spread leads to frequency-selective fading



Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink



Angle spectrum



Root mean square (RMS) angle spread

$$\theta_{RMS} = \sqrt{\frac{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (\theta - \overline{\theta})^2 \Psi_A(\theta) d\theta}{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Psi_A(\theta) d\theta}}, \quad \overline{\theta} = \frac{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \theta \Psi_A(\theta) d\theta}{\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \Psi_A(\theta) d\theta}$$
Coherence distance $D_c \propto 1/\theta_{RMS}$
mas Zemen, Nicolai Czink
March 17, 2011 21/42

Macro-Cell Scatterer Model



- $\bullet\,$ Local to mobile station (MS) angle spread, Doppler spread
- Local to base station (BS) angle spread
- Far scatterer delay spread, angle spread

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 17, 2011 22 / 42

---ftw Creating Communication

Wide Sense Stationarity (WSS) and Uncorrelated Scattering (US) I

Wide sense stationarity (WSS) (see [3])

Second order statistics of the channel are stationary

$$\mathsf{E}\{h_p(\tau,t)h_p^*(\tau',t')\}=R_{hh}(\tau,\tau',\Delta t),$$

Correlation function $R_{hh}(\tau, \tau', \Delta t)$ depends only on the time-difference $\Delta t = t - t'$.

WSS + Uncorrelated Scattering (US)

Attenuation and phase shift at delay τ is uncorrelated with delay τ'

$$\mathsf{E}\{h_p(\tau,t)h_p^*(\tau',t')\} = R_{hh}(\tau,\Delta t)\delta(\tau-\tau'),$$

--ftw Creating Communication Technologies

Time and Space-Variant Impulse Response



- Impulse response $h_p(\tau, t, d)$ at the receive antenna at location d for an impulse launched at $t \tau$.
- Assume zero-mean impulse response E{h_p(τ, t, d)} = 0 (no line of sight (LOS) component).
- $h_p(\tau, t, d)$ includes the antenna characteristics.



Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 17, 2011 23 / 42

Wide Sense Stationarity (WSS) and Uncorrelated Scattering (US) II

Delay Doppler spreading function

$$\mathcal{S}_h(
u, au) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} h_p(au,t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{j}2\pi
u t} \mathrm{d}t$$

For WSSUS channels, paths with different delay or Doppler are uncorrelated

$$\mathsf{E}\{S_h(\nu,\tau)S_h^*(\nu',\tau')\}=C(\nu,\tau)\delta(\nu-\nu')\delta(\tau-\tau')$$



Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 17, 2011 24 / 42

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 17, 2011 25 / 4

Homogeneous (HO) Channel

• $h_p(\tau, t, d)$ is WSS in space

 $\mathsf{E}\{h_p(\tau, t, \boldsymbol{d})h_p^*(\tau, t, \boldsymbol{d} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{d})\} = R_{\boldsymbol{d}}(\tau, t, \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{d}),$

where $R_d(\tau, t, \Delta d)$ is the lagged-space correlation function.

Homogeneous (HO) Channel

• $h_p(\tau, t, d)$ is WSS in space

 $\mathsf{E}\{h_{\rho}(\tau, t, \boldsymbol{d})h_{\rho}^{*}(\tau, t, \boldsymbol{d} + \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{d})\} = R_{\boldsymbol{d}}(\tau, t, \boldsymbol{\Delta}\boldsymbol{d}),$

where $R_d(\tau, t, \Delta d)$ is the lagged-space correlation function.

• This assumption is usually not fulfilled in cooperative communications!

--ftw Creating Communication Technologies

March 17, 2011 26 / 42

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

Scattering Function

• One dimensional case: *d* lies on the *x*-axis. Channel description in the delay(τ)-time(*t*)-angle(θ) domain is given by $S(\tau, t, \theta)$ where

$$h_p(\tau, t, x) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} S(\tau, t, \theta) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{j} 2\pi \sin(\theta) rac{\lambda}{\lambda}} \mathrm{d} heta$$

Time invariant case: S(τ_i, θ_i) is the scattering amplitude of a scatterer located at τ_i and θ_i.



MIMO Channel and Signal Models

SISO channel

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

- Time-variant impulse response: $h(\tau, t) = h_p(\tau, t) * g(\tau)$
 - $h_p(\tau, t)$ physical propagation channel
 - g(τ) combined effect of transmit pulse-shaping and receive matched-filtering.
- Received signal (without noise)

$$y(t) = \int_0^{ au_{max}} h(au, t) s(t- au) \mathrm{d} au = h(au, t) * s(t)$$

 For linear modulation, s(t) is a train of discrete amplitude pulses at symbol spacing T_S

$$s(t) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} (a_m + jb_m)\delta(t_m T_S)$$

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 17, 2011 28 / 42

---ftw Creating Communication

March 17, 2011 26 / 42

SIMO Channel



• SIMO channel represented by $M_R \times 1$ vector $\boldsymbol{h}(\tau, t)$

$$\boldsymbol{h}(\tau,t) = [h_1(\tau,t), h_2(\tau,t), \dots, h_{M_R}(\tau,t)]^\mathsf{T}$$

• For input signal s(t), output at *i*-th antenna is given by

$$y_i(t) = h_i(\tau, t) * s(t), \quad i \in \{1, 2, \dots, M_R\}$$

• In vector notation using
$$\mathbf{y}(t) = [y_1(t), y_2(t), \dots, y_{M_R}(t)]^T$$

 $\mathbf{y}(t) = \mathbf{h}(\tau, t) * \mathbf{s}(t)$ ftw

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

MIMO Channel



- h_{i,j}(τ, t) is impulse response between j-th (j = 1, 2, ..., M_T) transmit antenna and i-th (i = 1, 2, ..., M_R) receive antenna.
- $M_R \times M_T$ MIMO channel matrix $H(\tau, t)$

$$H(\tau, t) = \begin{bmatrix} h_{1,1}(\tau, t) & h_{1,2}(\tau, t) & \dots & h_{1,M_T}(\tau, t) \\ h_{2,1}(\tau, t) & h_{2,2}(\tau, t) & \dots & h_{2,M_T}(\tau, t) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ h_{M_R,1}(\tau, t) & h_{M_R,2}(\tau, t) & \dots & h_{M_R,M_T}(\tau, t) \end{bmatrix}$$
• In vector notation

$$oldsymbol{y}(t) = oldsymbol{H}(au,t) * oldsymbol{s}(t)$$

Marc

Creating Communicati Technologies

March 17, 2011 29 / 42

MISO Channel



• MISO channel represented by $1 \times M_T$ vector $\boldsymbol{h}(\tau,t)$

$$\boldsymbol{h}(\tau,t) = [h_1(\tau,t), h_2(\tau,t), \dots, h_{M_T}(\tau,t)]$$

• For input signals $s_j(t)$, output is given by

$$y(t) = \sum_{j=1}^{M_{ au}} h_j(au, t) * s_j(t)$$

• In vector notation using $\mathbf{s}(t) = [s_1(t), s_2(t), \dots, s_{M_T}(t)]^T$

$$y(t) = h(\tau, t) * s(t)$$
 ---ftw Creating Communication

March 17, 2011 30 / 42

Narrowband Array Assumption I



• Single Planar Wavefront

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

• Wavefront $z(t) = \beta(t)e^{j2\pi f_c t}$ arrives at an array having two antennas with inter-element spacing d, at angle θ .

Narrowband Array Assumption II

- Wavefront z(t) has bandwidth B
- Narrowband assumption: $B \ll 1/T_z \Rightarrow \beta(t T_z) \approx \beta(t)$

$$y_1(t) = z(t)$$
 $y_2(t) = z(t)e^{j2\pi \sin(\theta)\frac{d}{\lambda}}$

Array response vector *a*(θ) = [1, e^{j2π sin(θ) d/λ}]^T, equal to the array manifold (for omnidirectional antennas).

 $\boldsymbol{H}(\tau) = \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \boldsymbol{a}(\theta) \boldsymbol{S}(\theta, \tau) \mathrm{d}\theta\right) \boldsymbol{g}(\tau) = \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{g}(\tau)$

If we assume rich scattering and sufficient antenna spacing:
 Central limit theorem: Elements of *H* are zero-mean circularly symmetric complex Gaussian random variables with unit variance:

$$\begin{split} [\boldsymbol{H}_w]_{i,\ell} \sim \mathcal{CN}(0,1), \\ & \mathsf{E}\{[\boldsymbol{H}_w]_{i,\ell}\} = 0 \\ & \mathsf{E}\{|[\boldsymbol{H}_w]_{i,\ell}|^2\} = 1 \leftarrow \mathsf{not} \text{ in coop. comm.}! \\ & \mathsf{E}\{[\boldsymbol{H}_w]_{i,\ell}\boldsymbol{H}_w]_{i'\ell}^*\} = 0 \text{ for } i \neq i' \text{ or } \ell \neq \ell' \end{split}$$

• Not fulfilled in cooperative communications!

Double Directional Channel Model





$$oldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}(au) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{ au_{max}} oldsymbol{a}(heta) oldsymbol{S}(heta, au') oldsymbol{g}(au- au') \mathsf{d} au' \mathsf{d} heta$$

 $oldsymbol{a}(heta)\in\mathbb{C}^{M_R}$ array manifold of receive array Figure source: Steinbauer et.al [4] Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink --ftw Creating Communication Technologies

March 17, 2011 34 / 42

March 17, 2011 33 / 42

--- ftw Creating

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

Classical IID channel model

 $\boldsymbol{H} = \boldsymbol{H}_{w}$

• Some properties of H_{w}

For small delay spread $T_{\rm D} \ll T_{\rm S}$ we can write

Frequency Selective Channels

MIMO channel in the frequency domain

$$ilde{oldsymbol{\mathcal{H}}}(f) = \int_{-\infty}^\infty oldsymbol{H}(au) {
m e}^{-{
m j}2\pi f au} {
m d} au$$

More details later in the context of orthogonal frequency division multiplexing (OFDM).



Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

--- ftw Creating Communicat

ı, Nicolai Czink

Singular Value Decomposition of *H*

• Any channel **H** can be decomposed as

 $H = U \Sigma V^{H}$

- $\boldsymbol{U}^{\mathsf{H}}\boldsymbol{U} = \boldsymbol{V}^{\mathsf{H}}\boldsymbol{V} = \boldsymbol{I}_{r}$
- $\boldsymbol{\Sigma} = \operatorname{diag}\{\sigma_i\}_{i=1}^r, \, \sigma_i > 0, \, r \text{ is the rank of the channel } \boldsymbol{H}$
- $\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{H}^{H}$ is Hermitian with eigendecomposition

$$\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{H}^{\mathsf{H}}=\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{\Lambda}\boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathsf{H}}$$

•
$$\boldsymbol{Q}\boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathsf{H}} = \boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathsf{H}}\boldsymbol{Q} = \boldsymbol{I}_{M_{R}}$$

• $\boldsymbol{\Lambda} = \operatorname{diag}\{\lambda_{i}\}_{i=1}^{M_{R}}$

• $\lambda_i = \sigma_i^2$ is a random variable for random \boldsymbol{H}

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 17, 2011 37 / 42

Spatial Fading Correlation I

Insufficient antenna spacing or lack of scattering causes individual antennas to be correlated.

• The channel may be modeled as

$$\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{H}) = \boldsymbol{C}^{1/2}\operatorname{vec}(\boldsymbol{H})_w$$

• **C** is the $M_T M_R \times M_T M_R$ covariance matrix given by

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \mathsf{E}\{\mathsf{vec}(\boldsymbol{H})\mathsf{vec}(\boldsymbol{H})^{\mathsf{H}}\}$$

• C is Hermitian positive semi-definite

$$\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathsf{H}} \quad \boldsymbol{C}^{1/2} = \boldsymbol{Q} \boldsymbol{\Lambda}^{1/2} \boldsymbol{Q}^{\mathsf{H}}$$

Square Frobenius Norm of *H*

• Square Frobenius Norm of *H* can be interpreted as the total power gain of the MIMO channel

$$||\boldsymbol{H}||_{F}^{2} = \mathrm{Tr}(\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{H}^{\mathsf{H}}) = \sum_{i=1}^{M_{R}} \sum_{j=1}^{M_{T}} |[\boldsymbol{H}]_{i,j}|^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{M_{R}} \lambda_{i}$$

• Power distribution: $||\boldsymbol{H}||_{F}^{2}$ is a chi-square distributed random variable with $2M_{T}M_{R}$ degrees of freedom,

$$f(x) = \frac{x^{M_T M_R - 1}}{(M_R M_R - 1)!} e^{-x} u(x)$$

•••ftw Creating Communication Technologies

```
Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink
```

March 17, 2011 38 / 42

Spatial Fading Correlation II

Simpler more restrictive correlation model (Kronecker model)

$$\boldsymbol{H} = \boldsymbol{R}_r^{1/2} \boldsymbol{H}_w \boldsymbol{R}_t^{1/2}$$

- \boldsymbol{R}_r is the $M_R \times M_R$ receive correlation matrix.
- \boldsymbol{R}_t is the $M_T \times M_T$ transmit correlation matrix.
- C, R_r and R_t are related through the Kronecker product (\otimes)

 $\boldsymbol{C} = \boldsymbol{R}_t^{\mathsf{T}} \otimes \boldsymbol{R}_r$



ftw Creating Communication Technologies

Ricean Fading

Presence of line of sight (LOS) components

• Channel is modeled as sum of LOS and scattered components

$$oldsymbol{H} = \sqrt{rac{\kappa}{1+\kappa}}oldsymbol{ar{H}} + \sqrt{rac{1}{1+\kappa}}oldsymbol{ar{H}}$$

- $\sqrt{\frac{K}{1+K}}\overline{H} = E\{H\}$ is the LOS component and $\sqrt{\frac{1}{1+K}}\tilde{H}$ is the fading component of the channel.
- $K \ge 0$ is the **Ricean K-factor**.

References I

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

- A. Paulraj, R. Nabar, and D. Gore, *Introduction to Space-Time Wireless Communications*. Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- R. H. Clarke, "A statistical theory of mobile-radio reception," *Bell System Technical Journal*, p. 957, July-August 1968.
- G. Matz and F. Hlawatsch, "Time-frequency characterization of random time-varying channels," in *Time-Frequency Signal Analysis and Processing: A Comprehensive Reference*, B. Boashash, Ed. Oxford, UK: Elsevier, 2003, ch. 9.5, pp. 410–419.
- M. Steinbauer, A. F. Molisch, and E. Bonek, "The double directional radio channel," *IEEE Antennas Propag. Mag.*, vol. 43, no. 4, pp. 51–63, August 2001.

--ftw Creating Communication Technologies

March 17, 2011 42 / 42

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 17, 2011 41/42

---ftw Creating Communication