### **Cooperative Communications**

Lecture 3

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 24, 2011

#### Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

# Discrete-Time Transmission on Flat-Fading MIMO

### Channels

For now, the channel gains are assumed constant:

 $\boldsymbol{y}_n = \boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{s}_n + \boldsymbol{n}_n$ 

Properties of transmitted symbols:

- $\mathsf{E}\{s_n\} = \mathbf{0}$ ,
- $\boldsymbol{R}_{ss} = \mathsf{E}\{\boldsymbol{s}_n \boldsymbol{s}_n^\mathsf{H}\},$
- tr{ $\boldsymbol{R}_{ss}$ } =  $E_s$ : transmit energy

#### Important points:

- All antennas transmit at the same time on the same resource (frequency...).
- Their signals interfere.
- This is not a problem if we decode them jointly (the receive antennas "cooperate").

# Outline I

#### Today, Lecture 3 Part I

- $\bullet$  Wide sense stationarity (WSS) and uncorrelated scattering (US)
- SISO, SIMO, MISO, and MIMO signal model.
- Double directional channel model
- Classical iid channel model

#### Today, Lecture 3 Part II

- MIMO Channel Capacity
- Channel unkown at transmitter
- Channel known at transmitter
- Diversity Multiplexing Tradeoff
- Alamouti Scheme
- OFDM



March 24, 2011 2 / 27

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 24, 2011 1/27

March 24, 2011 3 / 27

# Capacity of a Deterministic MIMO Channel

Method introduced by Telatar in 1995 ([1]):

• Mutual information

$$I(\mathbf{s}; \mathbf{y}) = \log_2(\det(\mathbf{I}_{M_R} + \frac{1}{N_0}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{R}_{ss}\mathbf{H}^{\mathsf{H}})) \text{ bps/Hz}$$

Channel capacity

$$C = \max_{\mathrm{tr}(\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{ss}}) = \boldsymbol{E}_{\mathrm{s}}} \log_2(\mathrm{det}(\boldsymbol{I}_{M_{\mathcal{R}}} + \frac{1}{N_0}\boldsymbol{H}\boldsymbol{R}_{\mathrm{ss}}\boldsymbol{H}^{\mathrm{H}})) \quad \mathrm{bps}/\mathrm{Hz}.$$

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

### Channel Unknown at Transmitter I

If the channel is unknown at the transmitter it is reasonable to choose

$$\boldsymbol{R_{ss}} = rac{E_s}{M_T} \boldsymbol{I}_{M_T}$$

- This choice maximizes the average mutual information over the class of Gaussian i.i.d. channels *H*.
- The mutual information achieved in this case is

$$I = \log_2(\det(\boldsymbol{I}_{M_R} + \frac{E_S}{M_T N_0} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{H}^{\mathsf{H}}))$$

-- FHVA Creating Communica

March 24, 2011 7 / 27

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

.

March 24, 2011 5 / 27

### Channel Unknown at Transmitter III

#### Summary:

- Multiple antennas effectively open *r* scalar data pipes (modes) between transmitter and receiver.
- *R*<sub>ss</sub> = E<sub>s</sub>/M<sub>T</sub> *I*<sub>M<sub>T</sub></sub> results in equal energy allocation across the spatial modes (with wastage if r < M<sub>T</sub>).
- In the absence of channel knowledge the individual channel modes are not accessible.

### Channel Unknown at Transmitter II

The mutual information

$$I = \log_2 \left( \det \left( \boldsymbol{I}_{M_R} + \frac{E_S}{M_T N_0} \boldsymbol{H} \boldsymbol{H}^{\mathsf{H}} \right) \right)$$

can be written alternatively as

$$I = \log_2 \left( \det \left( \boldsymbol{I}_{M_R} + \frac{\boldsymbol{E}_S}{M_T N_0} \boldsymbol{U} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \boldsymbol{U}^{\mathsf{H}} \right) \right)$$

Using the identity  $det(I_m + AB) = det(I_n + BA)$  for matrices  $A(m \times n)$ and  $B(n \times m)$  we can write

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{E_S}{M_T N_0} \lambda_i \right)$$

where *r* is the rank of the channel and  $\lambda_i$  are the positive eigenvalues of  $HH^{H}$ .

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 24, 2011 6 / 27

### Channel Known at Transmitter I



- Channel knowledge *H* obtained through feedback or reciprocity in the case of time division duplexing (TDD)
- Individual channel modes can be accessed by linear processing at the receiver
- Input vector  $\tilde{\boldsymbol{s}} \in \mathbb{C}^r$  with  $\mathsf{E}\{\tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}\tilde{\boldsymbol{s}}^{\mathsf{H}}\} = M_T$



March 24, 2011 8 / 27

### Channel Known at Transmitter II

Signal model

 $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{H}\mathbf{s} + \mathbf{n}$ 

- Channel can be decomposed in  $\boldsymbol{H} = \boldsymbol{U}\boldsymbol{\Sigma}\boldsymbol{V}^{\mathsf{H}}$
- Precoding at transmitter:  $s = V\tilde{s}$
- At the receiver:  $\tilde{y} = U^{H} y$

$$\tilde{y} = U^{H}HV\tilde{s} + U^{H}n$$

• Inserting the singular value decomposition of  $\boldsymbol{H}$  yields

$$\tilde{y} = \Sigma \tilde{s} + \tilde{n}$$

---ftw Creating Communication

### Channel Known at Transmitter III



The matrix channel H is decomposed into r parallel sub-channels

 $\tilde{\mathbf{v}}_i = \sqrt{\lambda_i} \tilde{\mathbf{s}}_i + \tilde{n}_i$ 

 $\gamma_i^{opt} = \left(\mu - \frac{N_0}{\lambda_i}\right)^+, \quad i = 1, \dots, r$ 

 $\sum_{i}^{r} \gamma_{i}^{opt} = E_{s}$ 

 $(x)^{+} = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \ge 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$ 

In order to ensure positive  $\gamma_i$  we apply the waterpouring algorithm to find

for  $i \in \{1, ..., r\}$ .

**Optimal Energy Allocation** 

Maximization through Lagrangian multipliers

where  $\mu$  is a constant and  $(x)^+$  is defined as

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink



March 24, 2011 10 / 27

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

March 24, 2011 9 / 27

### Channel Known at Transmitter IV

Mutual Information is sum of individual SISO channels

$$I = \sum_{i=1}^{r} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma_i}{N_0} \lambda_i \right)$$

where

•  $\gamma_i = \mathsf{E}\{|\tilde{s}_i|^2\}$  is transmit energy in *i*-th sub channel and

• 
$$\sum_{i=1}^r \gamma_i = E_s$$
.

Capacity achieved through optimal distribution of energy on the individual sub-channels

$$C = \max_{\sum_{i=1}^{r} \gamma_i = E_s} \sum_{i=1}^{r} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\gamma_i}{N_0} \lambda_i \right)$$

--ftw Creating Communication

March 24, 2011 11 / 27

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

 $\mu$ .

March 24, 2011 12 / 27

# Waterpouring Algorithm



Recall that

 $s = V \tilde{s}$ 

Optimal transmit covariance matrix is given by

**Optimal Transmit Covariance Matrix** 

$$m{R}_{ss}^{opt} = m{V}m{R}_{\widetilde{s}\widetilde{s}}^{opt}m{V}^{\mathsf{H}}$$

where

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

$$\boldsymbol{R}_{\tilde{s}\tilde{s}}^{opt} = \operatorname{diag}([\gamma_1^{opt}, \dots, \gamma_r^{opt}])$$



---ftw Creating Communication

March 24, 2011 16 / 27

Diversity-Multiplexing Tradeoff



- Flexible tradeoff between diversity *d* and multiplexing gain *r* can be achieved.
- For the *H<sub>w</sub>* (independent identical distributed) MIMO channel *d*(*r*) is piecewise linear:

$$d(r) = (M_R - r)(M_T - r)$$

March 24, 2011 15 / 27

Space-Time Coding - Alamouti-Scheme I



- Symbol period 1:  $y_1 = \sqrt{\frac{E_S}{2}}(h_1s_1 + h_2s_2) + n_1$  ,
- Symbol period 2:  $y_2 = \sqrt{\frac{E_s}{2}} (-h_1 s_2^* + h_2 s_1^*) + n_2$ ,
- Decode by:  $\hat{s}_1 = \frac{y_1h_1^* + y_2^*h_2}{|h_1|^2 + |h_2|^2}, \ \hat{s}_2 = \frac{y_1h_2^* y_2^*h_1}{|h_1|^2 + |h_2|^2}$

 $\Rightarrow$  Do the maths!!

### Space-Time Coding - Alamouti-Scheme II

Alamouti scheme has diversity order  $2M_R$ .



# OFDM Fundamentals II

#### Orthogonal subcarriers



#### $f_q = q/(NT_{\rm C})$

 $q \in \{0, \ldots, N-1\}$  Subcarrier index



---ftw Creating Communication

March 24, 2011 19 / 27

# OFDM Fundamentals I

### Single carrier versus multi carrier



# OFDM Fundamentals III

#### Processing steps



Efficiently implementable by means of an inverse discrete Fourier transform.

---ftw Creating Communication

# OFDM Fundamentals IV

#### Cyclic prefix insertion



- $T_{\rm S}$  OFDM symbol duration.
- A copy of the signal tail (length  $T_G$ ) is inserted at the beginning of each OFDM symbol.
- Absorbs multipath components, and turns a convolution into a cyclic convolution.

```
Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink
```

March 24, 2011 21 / 27

# OFDM Fundamentals V

#### OFDM time frequency representation



## **OFDM System Design**

• No inter-symbol interference: Guard interval larger than the delay spread  $T_{\rm D}$ 

 $T_{\rm G} > T_{\rm D}$ 

• Spectral efficiency: Symbol duration much larger than delay spread

$$T_{\rm S} \gg T_{\rm D}$$

• Inter-carrier interference: Symbol rate much higher than Doppler shift  $f_{\rm D}$ 

 $1/T_{\rm S} \gg f_{\rm D}$ 

 $[T_{\mathsf{S}} = (N+G)T_{\mathsf{C}}]$ 

Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink

 $T_{\rm G} = GT_{\rm C}$  cyclic prefix length

*G* length of cyclic prefix in samples (chips)

$$T_{\rm S} = (N + G)T_{\rm C}$$
 OFDM symbol length

March 24, 2011 23 / 27

-ftw Creating

# Receiver Side Processing

- Drop cyclic prefix and perform DFT
- Channel partitioned in N parallel frequency flat channels
- Simple equalization complexity grows with  $N \log(N)$



# Digital Video Broadcasting (DVB-T)

#### Single frequency broadcasting networks

- All transmitters use the same frequency  $f_1 = f_2 = f_3$
- Large distances  $d \le$  75km between individual (high power) transmitters cause long delay spreads  $T_{\rm D} \le$  128  $\mu$ s



# References I

- I. Telatar, "Capacity of multi-antenna gaussian channels," AT&T Bell Labs, Tech. Rep., Jun. 1995.
- S. Alamouti, "A simple transmitter diversity sceme for wireless communications," *IEEE J. Sel. Areas Commun.*, vol. 16, no. 8, pp. 1451–1458, Oct. 1998.
- S. Nanda, R. Walton, J. Ketchum, M. Wallace, and S. Howard, "A high-performance MIMO OFDM wireless LAN," *IEEE Commun. Mag.*, vol. 43, no. 2, pp. 101–109, Feb. 2005.

# Wireless LAN (802.11a)

#### Indoor application

- $\,$   $\,$  Multipath propagation, delay spread  $\,T_{\rm D}<800\,\text{ns}$ 
  - $B = 20 \,\mathrm{MHz}$  bandwidth
    - N = 64 subcarriers
    - G = 15 cyclic prefix length
  - $\Delta f = 312 \,\text{kHz}$  subcarrier bandwidth
- Physical layer data rate 54 Mb/s
- 802.11n: Extensions to MIMO systems currently under development
  [3]



```
Thomas Zemen, Nicolai Czink
```

March 24, 2011 26 / 27

